

REMARKS

Regarding the amendments of the claims

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 2, 4, 7 and 9-11 are pending.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 8, 12, and 13 have been cancelled.

Claims 2, 4, 7 and 9-11 have been objected to.

Claims 2 and 10 have been amended. No new matter has been added.

II. CLAIM OBJECTIONS

Claims 2 and 10, which were dependent on Claim 1, were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but the Examiner suggested that they would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In accordance with the Examiner's suggestion, Claims 2 and 10 have been amended so as to incorporate all of the limitations of the base claim, i.e., Claim 1. Therefore, amended Claims 2 and 10 should be allowable.

Claims 4, 7, 9 and 11 are dependent on allowable Claim 2 either directly or indirectly. Therefore, those claims should be allowable.

III. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 3, 5, 8, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shusen et al (CN 1285249A).

Applicants submit that claims 1, 3, 5, 8, 12 and 13 have been cancelled, thus rendering the rejection moot. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendment, Applicants believe the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: June 19, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Raffaele A. DeMarco

Registration No.: 54,061

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 770

Church Street Station

New York, New York 10008-0770

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorney For Applicant